DIE FILL COPY

(1)

**TECHNICAL REPORT BRL-TR-3113** 

# BRL

AD-A224 785

VULNERABILITY SCIENCE:
A RESPONSE TO A CRITICISM OF THE
BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY'S
VULNERABILITY MODELING STRATEGY



MICHAEL W. STARKS

JUNE 1990

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

U.S. ARMY LABORATORY COMMAND

BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

90 08 01 009

### **NOTICES**

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. DO NOT return it to the originator.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute indorsement of any commercial product.

# UNCLASSIFIED

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to everage 1 hour per response, including the time for

gathering and maintaining the data needed. And como collection of information, including suggestions for re- Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AN	D DATES COVERED
	June 1990	Final, Apr	: 89 - Apr 90
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	-		S. FUNDING NUMBERS
Vulnerability Science: A	Response to a Crit	ticism of the	1L16 <b>2</b> 18AH80
Ballistic Research Laborat	tory's Vulnerabili	ty Modeling	DA31 6061
Strategy		-	
6. AUTHOR(S)			
Michael W. Starks			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME	S) AND ADDRESSEE		O DEDECORABLE COCCURATION
			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
9. SPONSORING: MONITORING AGENCY Ballistic Research Laborat	NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(E	5)	10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T	•		BRL-TR-3113
Aberdeen Proving Ground, 1	MD 21005-5066		DVT-1V-3113
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  Armored Combat Vehicle Vu	Inerability to Ant	i-Armor Weapons:	A Review of the Army's
Assessment Methodology, Na		ess, 1989.	-
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STAT	EMENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Approved for public releas	se; distribution i	s unlimited.	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)	<del></del>		
An argument is presented a scientific fashion as poterms of the required prothis program is contrasted Technology (BAST) in a recommendation	ossible. Conseque perties of a sound d with that recomm	nces of this argu vulnerability mo	deling program. Finally,
14 CHRIST VERAL			
14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 34
Vulnerability Vulnerability modeling			16. PRICE CODE
	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFI OF ABSTRACT	CATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRAC

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UL

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

A number of people gave me helpful criticism of an early version of this paper; these include Gilbert Bowers, Paul Deitz, Chuck Huenke, Daniel Kirk, J. Terrence Klopcic, John Suckling, and James Walbert, all of the Ballistic Research Laboratory. Thanks also to Karen Richardson for her capable handling of the manuscript. Finally, I would like to thank the members of the Board on Army Science and Technology (BAST); their criticism is ultimately responsible for eliciting what I hope is a fuller defense of BRL's Vulnerability/Lethality modeling strategy than has previously been published.

Accessor For  NTIS CREST  DITU TAB  Under conced  Justification		
By		
Availability Codes		
Dist Avail and for Special		
A-1		



INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	WHAT IS V/L ASSESSMENT?	1
II.	CONSEQUENCES FOR V/L MODELING	5
III.	CONSEQUENCES FOR OUR CRITICS	7
TV.	DISTRIBUTION LIST	1

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

### I. WHAT IS V/L ASSESSMENT?

There is a certain kind of technical work performed by several DoD Laboratories and Activities as well as by aerospace firms and consultants. The work is traditionally called "vulnerability analysis"; about 150 of the workers toil in the Vulnerability/Lethality Division (VLD) of the Ballistic Research Laboratory (BRL). This paper will try to illuminate issues about what these people are doing and should be doing, especially with respect to ground vehicles. The arguments will have an important bearing on the question of what we should be trying to accomplish when we develop computer simulations in support of our vulnerability/lethality (V/L) mission.

A recent statement of BRL's V/L mission is as follows:

- Provide Objective, Quantitative Vulnerability and Lethality, Assessment Data for Decision and Operational Analysis

and

- Means to Reduce Vulnerability and Enhance the Lethality of BLUE systems.

This mission statement is probably not very different from those of other DoD elements with V/L responsibilities. Note that of the two parts of the mission statement one is essentially *scientific* (Objective, Quantitative ... Data) while the other is essentially *practical* (Reduce ... and Enhance). For the benefit of non-specialists, I would like to briefly discuss the practical aspects of the mission first.

A competent and experienced worker in ground vehicle V/L has been involved with both V/L testing and V/L simulation modeling. The testing could range from individual fragments fired against vehicle components to firings of large caliber munitions against fully combat-loaded targets. The simulation experience could also vary over an equally broad range. It is fairly clear that our idealized competent worker is uniquely placed to give helpful practical advice to developers of both ground vehicles and munitions designed to defeat ground vehicles. Examples of this kind of advice are as follows:

- Put more armor around the gunner's sight
- Make the hatches thicker
- Lower the fuel cells and ammunition
- Separate back-up wiring harnesses
- Add redundancy for fire control
- Change the built-in-standoff of a developmental shaped charge
- Change the intended hit point of a smart munition
- Shock mount key electrical components if possible

There are several points I wish to emphasize about this mission of giving useful practical advice. First, it is important. Developers often take the advice with an immediate reduction of vulnerability or enhancement of lethality as a direct result. Second, I estimate (an estimate corroborated in discussions with senior V/L managers) that no more than 20% of VLD's effort is directed towards the role of giving practical advice. Third, I believe that this mission is the fundamental reason why workers on V/L problems have traditionally been called "analysts" rather than "scientists". The label "analyst" was at one time scientifically honorific and was used in the sense of "one who divides into parts". In more recent years, however, the label is sometimes used in a contrastive sense with "scientist"; we do analysis when there is insufficient information available to do science. People who do wargaming are analysts, for example, while those who do fluid mechanics are scientists. For the role of giving practical V/L advice, the mixture of science, experience, engineering judgment, and rules of thumb with ill-defined regions of applicability is indeed traditionally characterized as "analysis" with its implicit connotation of a strong subjective or judgmental component.

Unfortunately, the term "vulnerability analyst" is also used for the 80% remaining effort which truly is -- or should be -- scientific. This is unfortunate because it carries the implication that development of objective quantitative vulnerability estimates is as subjective and judgmental as the practical advice. I believe that this implication should be regarded as false; that is, I believe that when we are trying to fulfill our mission of developing objective, quantitative vulnerability data we should be doing science purged of subjective judgment to the maximum extent possible.

Since I have learned from experience that this claim is bitterly contested by some V/L workers, it is worth discussing in more detail. Perhaps it is best to begin by avoiding spurious objections through clarification of what is not being claimed. I am not claiming that objective, quantitative vulnerability assessments can be conducted without exercise of good judgment on the part of V/L workers. (It is equally true that objective, quantitative assessments of the stars can be made only by astronomers with good judgment.) Good judgment is clearly a prerequisite for success with any rational activity; my claim is only that we should limit, to the maximum extent possible, our appeal to subjective judgments when we make V/L assessments.

In particular, I believe we should avoid subjective and overly global V/L assessments which rely on vague interpretive procedures, functional relationships of dubious relevance or provenance, intuitive hunches, semantically ambiguous outputs, or rules of thumb regarded as dogma. Avoidance of these difficulties requires "analysis" in the good sense; the V/L assessment problem must be broken into intellectually digestible chunks so that the phenomenologies associated with various kill mechanisms, classes of components, and target classes can each be treated in the most careful and auditable way possible. In short, V/L assessments, whether executed in the field or with a computer, should be conducted less as art and more as science.

For that portion of the V/L assessment process that involves testing, few would argue that we should not attempt to meet scientific standards of measurement. When we test a developmental bullet against a ground vehicle or a ground vehicle component we keep careful photographic and numerical records concerning the initial conditions of the test. Experiments typically involve a wide variety of measurement instrumentation including high speed photography, x-ray, thermal, blast, and motion sensors, as well as careful observation of the exact state of the target after the shot. Clearly, scientific standards of measurement are met for the empirical part of V/L assessments.

It is the theoretical portion of V/L assessments where there is apparent disagreement on the issue of whether we can or should meet scientific standards. Here, I would argue, we are trying to achieve scientific understanding of vulnerability phenomena by developing explanations of observed events and predictions of events not yet observed. Those who have argued that we cannot or should not attempt this rely on the belief that V/L phenomena are "too hard" to understand and that we are therefore constrained to rely on judgmental analysis.

It must be conceded that we do not have a physical paradigm for conduct of scientific V/L assessments which is as robust as the Newtonian, relativistic, or quantum, paradigms. This does not imply, in my view, that we should throw up our hands and settle for subjective and judgmental analysis. There is a clear sense in which the problems of classical mechanics were "too hard" for Kepler and Galileo and the problems of electrodynamics were "too hard" for Lorentz. None of these individuals obtained a completely satisfactory scientific account of the entire set of phenomena he brought under study. Still, perusal of the historical record clearly reveals that much of the careful scientific work accomplished by these men eventually was embedded in that complex of laws and initial conditions which constitutes the scientific truth at time t.

Scientific progress takes time with respect to any phenomena. Vulnerability phenomena are extremely complex, are at least partly stochastic, are difficult and expensive to measure, and often seem unstable with even small changes in initial conditions. When these difficulties are considered in conjunction with the fact that vulnerability phenomena have been under scientific study for only a short period of time, it is easy to see why a general physical theory concerning these phenomena is not yet available.

Sometimes it is argued that because there is no first principles general theory of V/L phenomena, V/L cannot be pursued as science. It is certainly true that many of the premises embedded in our explanations are empirical in the sense that they express functional relationships developed from experiment rather than derived from higher order physical law. Moreover, we are often in the uncomfortable position of extending these functional relationships beyond the region for which they were actually measured. Clearly, it is very difficult to determine the extent to which this procedure is adequate without the help of first principles guidelines.

Despite these difficulties, I would argue that there is every reason to link together our explanatory premises in as rigorous a way as possible. If we force ourselves to codify relations of dependence in the central phenomenologies relevant to V/L studies, then we will be forced to face our own ignorance in those areas where we feel that the postulated relations of dependence are on shakiest ground. In turn, this will lead us to focus our efforts on exactly those areas which are most in need of further work.

There are also excellent further reasons why we should regard our attempts at providing objective, quantitative vulnerability data as science, even if for various reasons we fall short of this goal. To begin with, there is grounds for optimism in that only recently has sufficiently powerful computing machinery been available to allow simulation of complex and stochastic phenomena at an appropriately high level of resolution. So at the very least, we must be permitted some time to prosecute V/L work as science.

If we strive for a fuller scientific understanding of vulnerability phenomena there are obvious benefits; these accrue from the rigor and clarity with which scientific method requires us to state our premises, methods, and conclusions. This clarity maximizes the likelihood that V/L workers can communicate with a minimum of ambiguity and that they can provide effective and specific criticism of each other's work. Clarity also makes it possible to expose our cognitive claims to the challenge of critically probative data collected under carefully controlled conditions. That is, we can test our explanatory schemata and factual premises so that we can improve them if they are mistaken. This central and obvious benefit of regarding V/L assessment as science allows us to assess whether we are making progress in developing an improved understanding of V/L phenomena.

The difference between merely judgmental and scientific standards is analogous to differences in standards in marksmanship. Most people would qualify as expert shots if the criterion of expertness were to hit the Pentagon from 100 meters. Fewer individuals could meet the criterion of centering their shots on a 50mm target at that distance. Similarly, a judgment that a tank will become incapable of moving during the next week is more likely to be accurate than a prediction that the tank will become incapable of movement during the next hour due to a specifically stated physical failure. The first prediction will be confirmed if any failure mode develops during the next week; the second will be refuted if the tank is still running at the end of an hour. The latter prediction could be false without the former being so, but not conversely; the latter prediction must therefore satisfy more rigorous standards of experiential control than are assumed for the former.

The point is that rigorously narrow predictions are easier to prove empirically false than broad generic ones. It is by making predictions that turn out to be false that we receive the impetus to improve our understanding such that we can make better predictions. Broad generic predictions are harder to falsify, so

they are less likely to provide such an impetus. Thus it is that predictive rigor is a sine qua non of scientific progress.

I have argued that much of what is done in the V/L assessment area can be regarded as science and should be so regarded. The argument has consequences in the V/L modeling area.

### II. CONSEQUENCES FOR V/L MODELING

The best scientific theories or models are very often elegantly abstract; they retain just enough structure to satisfactorily explain or predict the observed phenomena which they are intended to illuminate. As was emphasized in Section I of this paper, we are not in possession of simple, general, and elegant models for explaining V/L phenomena. Consequently, our best V/L models are those which empirically capture sufficient physical structure to permit predictions which can be compared to experimental outcomes. I have argued elsewhere that only component-level models pass the test of in-principle comparability with experiment.

The component-level approach is perhaps naturally most appealing to VLD's scientists, since a healthy scientific curiosity motivates them towards obtaining a precise understanding of the vulnerability phenomena under study. With such models<sup>2</sup> we seek scientific explanations of vehicle loss of function in terms of the (possibly stochastic) physical regularities (i.e., "laws", "theories") underlying such phenomena as penetration, spallation, and component dysfunction under loading. In the customary sense in which the phrase is used here, a scientific explanation is a deductively formulated argument in which the premises include both general statements about physical regularities ("laws") and specific statements concerning the initial conditions of interest. The statement to be explained or predicted is specific and concerns particular components killed. By providing a unified explanatory scheme stated with mathematical clarity our high resolution code gives us the hope of scientific progress, one improved subroutine at a time.

This level of vulnerability modeling, it must be acknowledged, is difficult to accomplish in practice. There are several reasons for this. First, we rarely have a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Michael W. Starks, "Assessing the Accuracy of Vulnerability Models by Comparison with Vulnerability Experiments", BRL-TR-3018, July 1989.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>VLD's current best model for this problem, a stochastic point burst model, is discussed in: Aivars Ozolins, "Stochastic High-Resolution Vulnerability Simulation for Live-Fire Programs," The Proceedings of the Tenth Annual Symposium on Survivability and Vulnerability of the American Defense Preparedness Association (ADPA), held at the Naval Ocean Systems Center, San Diego, CA, 10-12 May 1988, (UNCLASSIFIED) and Paul H. Deitz, Aivars Ozolins, "Computer Simulations of the Abrams Live-Fire Field Testing," presented at the Army Operations Research Symposium XXVII, Ft. Lee, VA, 12-13 October 1988, (UNCLASSIFIED).

fully adequate conjunction of theoretical laws and statements describing empirical regularity to constitute appropriate explanatory apparatus. Otherwise put, we rarely know enough. Second, the information is only sometimes available to develop a three dimensional computer model of a target at the required very high resolution.

Despite the infrequency with which the goal of achieving scientific explanation/prediction has been achieved in practice, it is both theoretically and practically critical that we continue its vigorous pursuit through continual improvement of our high-resolution V/L codes. From a theoretical standpoint, it is when we attempt to code known or postulated regularities into our high resolution vulnerability codes that the shoe of ignorance pinches most acutely. The code serves to illuminate those vulnerability phenomena which are intuitively important and to which our results are known to be sensitive, but about which we are not comfortable with the available general statements concerning underlying regularities. In short, our scientific wish to achieve theoretical understanding of vulnerability phenomena forces us to look hardest at the most important and most poorly charted problems. There could not be a better heuristic principle for focusing V/L research on the right areas.

The theoretical regularities embedded in our high-resolution vulnerability/lethality models constitute the current version of the V/L truth. This truth is perhaps not as timeless or general or as well corroborated as we would wish. Still, it seems clearly to be the only reasonable standard against which we can judge lower resolution models, since it is the only level of model that can be compared with experiment for accuracy.

For both theoretical and practical reasons it is neither possible nor desirable to attempt component-level modeling for every V/L problem. Depending upon the specific question or questions which the modeling is intended to illuminate it might be appropriate to consider only penetration, to consider crude lumped-parameter loss of function (LOF) modeling, or to consider detailed component level modeling of the kind discussed above.

Lumped-parameter LOF modeling is not without its virtues. Since it is less input-hungry than component level models, it is a faster means of developing V/L estimates than is component-level modeling. However, the key disadvantage of this type of modeling is that its output metrics are not empirically observable so that we cannot, even in principle, address the question of how accurate the modeling is. All we can hope for with such simulations is that their results be at least consistent with our component-level models, or better yet, derivable from those models. It follows that we must ground our lumped-parameter modeling in our component-level modeling or abandon all hope of ever being able to quantify the accuracy of our lumped-parameter models.

If we want to pursue scientific understanding of V/L phenomena, there is no alternative to high resolution modeling. This has consequences for a certain criticism of VLD's modeling strategy.

### III. CONSEQUENCES FOR OUR CRITICS

Those who have wielded, developed, or analyzed weapon systems often tend to regard themselves as experts concerning vulnerability phenomena. In part, I think this is due to the unfortunate veneer of "subjective and judgmental" which has mistakenly been thought to characterize all V/L work. The "subjective and judgmental" veneer seems to elicit subjective and judgmental criticism of scientific V/L work even from those whose intellectual modesty would forbid expression of offhand opinions with respect to other areas of scientific endeavor. In any case, the V/L community has been reviewed and criticized with remarkable frequency over the past 10-15 years. Much of the criticism is sound and indeed exhibits one form or another of the central point of this paper: that V/L assessment should be pursued in as rigorous a scientific fashion as possible.

It is a specific recent criticism which I wish to discuss here. This criticism was published by a distinguished arm of the National Research Council; it has been made by others as well; and it betrays serious confusion concerning the subjective judgment versus science issues discussed in this paper. The National Research Council group, the Board on Army Science & Technology (BAST), stated ten conclusions/recommendations concerning the Army's V/L assessment methodology. One is shown in its entirety below:

The committee has reviewed the current BRL approach to more accurate model building. It is, in essence, based on the belief that better accuracy will result from models of increasing detail, i.e., models that incorporate the vehicle exterior and interior geometry in relatively minute detail and that trace behind-armor damage virtually fragment by fragment. It is the committee's opinion that such an approach is not justified because of the inability to forecast with precision the characteristics and performance of ever-evolving threat weapons, and because of the inherently stochastic nature of penetration and behind-armor damage mechanisms. The trend toward increasingly detailed models is not a productive direction and the committee suggests that BRL reconsider its current

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Committee on a Review of Army Vulnerability Assessment Methods, Board on Army Science and Technology, Commission on Engineering and Technical Systems, National Research Council, Armored Combat Vehicle Vulnerability to Anti-Armor Weapons: A Review of the Army's Assessment Methodology, National Academy Press, 1989. It should be noted that of the ten conclusions and recommendations in the report only one is discussed here.

direction for model design. A lesser degree of detail, using an approach based on a more generic assessment of the vulnerability of major components, would still provide valid vulnerability estimates with reduced data requirements and shorter computational times.

I propose to address this reasoning, at first, by indirection. Consider the following suggestion, structurally identical to that of the BAST, which might have been made by a seventeenth century Aristotelian:

The committee has reviewed the current Newtonian approach to more accurate modeling of planetary motion. It is, in essence, based n the belief that better accuracy will result from models of increasing detail, i.e., models that incorporate planetary motions and forces in relatively minute detail and that trace planetary motion virtually moment by moment. It is the committee's opinion that such an approach is not justified because of the inability to forecast with precision the characteristics of planets and their motions, and because of the inherently variable nature of the various heavenly bodies. The trend toward increasingly detailed models is not a productive direction and the committee suggests that Newton reconsider his current direction for model design. A lesser degree of detail, using an approach based on a more generic assessment of the planetary forces would still provide valid estimates of the planetary motions with reduced data requirements and shorter computational times.

Since most of us have more emotional and intellectual distance from seventeenth century natural philosophy than we do from current controversy, let us first note some of the problems with the Aristotelian's criticism of Newton. Notice that the Aristotelian does not come right out and say that Newton is wrong; the suggestion is rather that Newton is getting bogged down in unnecessary detail. What the Aristotelian fails to grasp is the fact that Newton was seeking a deeper understanding of planetary motion by developing detailed explanations of those phenomena which meet much more stringent scientific criteria than can be found in Aristotle's Physics.

It also deserves emphasis that the Aristotelian fails to provide a clearly articulated alternative to the Newtonian project. I do not know what a "generic assessment" of planetary forces might look like just as I do not know what a "generic assessment" of component vulnerability might look like. Careful reading of the BAST's paper shows that if they know, they aren't telling. As emphasized in Sections I and II, scientific predictions are intrinsically specific; they are not generic. The suggestion to move toward "generic assessment" is really just an empty exhortation to avoid all that inconvenient and messy detail. This would have been bad advice to give Newton and it is also bad advice to give the V/L community.

It follows that it is not clear whether the generic assessment tools favored by the BAST can provide "valid estimates" or not. It is not even clear what the phrase "valid estimates" might mean in a process stripped of the detail which is required for scientific treatment of the problem. I know what an accurate prediction is; I do not know how the BAST would recognize a valid estimate given that it was developed by an undefined analytical process.

Finally, it is worth brief exploration of the BAST's contention that VLD's pursuit of scientifically accurate component-level models "is not a productive direction". I have already shown that this opinion reflects a peculiar view of science, at best. It is perhaps also worth exhibiting that as a practical matter, the VLD strategy criticized has resulted in clear-cut successes. High resolution stochastic modeling was a cornerstone of VLD's modeling program supporting Live Fire Testing of the ABRAMS Tank, for example. This work not only provided novel and useful quantitative insights into the damage processes modeled; it was also well received by top level Army and Department of Defense decision makers. As a second example, consider VLD's support of the Army's program to determine appropriate spare parts stockages for combat damaged ground vehicles. It is obvious that this valuable program could not be conducted at all without component level modeling. The point here is not that our component-level modeling is perfect, but only that our modeling strategy has already had productive and practical payoff.

To sum up: an unkind paraphrase of the BAST's suggestion might run as follows:

Why bother with V/L science. It's expensive and difficult and the phenomena under study keep changing. Let's just do more "generic assessments"; the resulting V/L estimates will still be "valid".

I hope I have shown that this suggestion is defeatist and anti-intellectual, and that it essentially bids us to stop trying to understand V/L phenomena.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

No of Copies	Organization	No of Copies	Organization
1	Office of the Secretary of Defense OUSD(A) Director, Live Fire Testing ATTN: James F. O'Bryon Washington, DC 20301-3110	1	Director US Army Aviation Research and Technology Activity Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035-1099
2	Administrator Defense Technical Info Center ATTN: DTIC-DDA Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145	1	Commander US Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-RD-CS-R (DOC) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5010
1	HQDA (SARD-TR) WASH DC 20310-0001	1	Commander US Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-TSL (Technical Library) Warren, MI 48397-5000
1	Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCDRA-ST 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-0001	1	Director US Army TRADOC Analysis Command ATTN: ATAA-SL White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5502
1	Commander US Army Laboratory Command ATTN: AMSLC-DL Adelphi, MD 20783-1145	(Class. only) ]	Commandant US Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSH-CD (Security Mgr.) Fort Benning, GA 31905-5660
2	Commander US Army, ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-IMI-I Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000	(Unclass, only) ]	Commandant US Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSH-CD-CSO-OR Fort Benning, GA 31905-5660
2	Commander US Army, ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-TDC Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000	1	Air Force Armament Laboratory ATTN: AFATL/DLODL Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000
1	Director Benet Weapons Laboratory US Army, ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-TL Watervliet, NY 12189-4050	2	Aberdeen Proving Ground  Dir, USAMSAA ATTN: AMXSY-D AMXSY-MP, H. Cohen Cdr, USATECOM
1	Commander US Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command ATTN: SMCAR-ESP-L Rock Island, IL 61299-5000	3	ATTN: AMSTE-TD  Cdr, CRDEC, AMCCOM  ATTN: SMCCR-RSP-A  SMCCR-MU  SMCCR-MSI  Dir, VLAMO
1	Commander US Army Aviation Systems Command ATTN: AMSAV-DACL 4300 Goodfellow Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63120-1798		ATTN: AMSLC-VL-D

No. of Copies		No. of Copies	
1	HQDA (DAMI-FIT, COL O'Connor) WASH DC 20310-1001	1	Office of the Under Secretary of Defense, R&E ATTN: Dr. William Snowden
	HQDA (DAMO-ZD, Mr. Riente) The Pentagon, Rm 3A538 WASH DC 20310-0410		The Pentagon, Room 3D359 Washington, DC 20301
	HQDA (SARD-TN, LTC Fejfar) The Pentagon, Rm 3E360 WASH DC 20310	1	Office of the Asst Dep Dir of Defense Live Fire Testing ATTN: COL L. Stanford The Pentagon, Room 3E1060 Washington, DC 20301
	HQDA (Asst Chief of Staff for Intelligence, Joseph Varandore) WASH DC 20310-1067	2	OSD OUSD (A) ODDDRE (T&E/LFT) ATTN: James O'Bryon
	HQDA (Limres Study Group, Shirley D. Ford) The Pentagon, Room 1B929 WASH DC 20310		Albert E. Rainis The Pentagon, Rm 3E1060 Washington, DC 20301-3110
	Administrative Support Group Office of the Secretary of the Army ATTN: LTC Douglas R. Milme Room 3D715, Pentagon Building	I	American Defense Preparedness Association (ADPA) ATTN: Bill King 1700 N. Moore Street, #900 Arlington, VA 22209-1942
1	Washington, DC 20310  Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Research, Development, and Acquisition) ATTN: LTG Donald S. Pihl,	9	Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ATTN: Mr. B. Bandy Dr. R. Kahn Dr. C. Kelly
	Military Deputy Washington, DC 20310-0100  Office of the Secretary of the Army (Research, Development, and Acquisition)		Mr. P. Losleben Dr. J. Lupo Mr. F. Patten Dr. Reynolds Mr. S. Squires
	ATTN: MG Cercy Deputy for Systems Management Washington, DC 20310-0103	2	COL J. Thorpe 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209  Central Intelligence Agency
	Deputy Under Secretary of the Army for Operations Research ATTN: OUSA (Hon Walt Hollis) The Pentagon, Room 2E660	4	Central Intelligence Agency ATTN: ORD/PERD (Ray Cwiklinski) (Tom Kennedy) Washington, DC 20505
	Washington, DC 20310-0102	1	Central Intelligence Agency ATTN: ORD (Jim Fahnestock) Washington, DC 20505

# No. of Copies Organization

- Central Intelligence Agency ATTN: ORD/IERD (J. Fleisher) Washington, DC 20505
- Central Intelligence Agency ATTN: ORD (Marvin P. Hartzler) Washington, DC 20505
- 2 Central Intelligence Agency ATTN: OIA (Barbara A. Kroggel) (Monica McGuinn) Washington, DC 20505
- Central Intelligence Agency ATTN: ORD (Peter Lew)
   1820 N. Fort Meyer Drive Arlington, VA 22209
- 1 Chief of Naval Operations OP-03-C2 ATTN: CPT Robert K. Barr Rm 4D537, The Pentagon Washington, DC 20350-2000
- 1 Mr. Robert Gomez/OSWR PO Box 1925 Washington, DC 20013
- 1 Commander
  US Army Materiel Command
  ATTN: AMCDE-PI (Dan Marks)
  5001 Eisenhower Avenue
  Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
- 1 Headquarters
  US Army Materiel Command
  ATTN: AMCDRA (R. Black)
  5001 Eisenhower Avenue
  Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
- Commander
   US Army Materiel Command
   ATTN: AMCMT (John Kicak)
   5001 Eisenhower Avenue
   Alexandria, VA 22333-0001

# No. of Copies Organization

- 1 Commander
  US Army Materiel Command
  ATTN: AMCPD (Darold Griffin)
  5001 Eisenhower Avenue
  Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
- 1 Commander
  US Army Materiel Command
  ATTN: AMCPD-PM (Jim Sullivan)
  5001 Eisenhower Avenue
  Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
- 2 Commander
  US Army Materiel Command
  ATTN: AMCPM-LOTA (Robert Hall)
  (MAJ Purdin)
  5001 Eisenhower Avenue
  Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
- 1 Commander
  US Army Materiel Command
  ATTN: AMCSP
  5001 Eisenhower Avenue
  Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
- 1 Commander
  US Army Materiel Command
  ATTN: AMCTD-PT (Alan Elkins)
  5001 Eisenhower Avenue
  Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
- Commander
   US Army Laboratory Command
   ATTN: AMSLC-CT (K. Zastrow)
   2800 Powder Mill Road
   Adelphi, MD 20783-1145
- 1 Commander
  US Army Laboratory Command
  ATTN: AMSLC-CG
  2800 Powder Mill Road
  Adelphi, MD 20783-1145
- 2 Commander
  US Army Laboratory Command
  ATTN: AMSLC-TP (J. Predham)
  (D. Smith)
  2800 Powder Mill Road
  Adelphi, MD 20783-1145

# No. of <u>Organization</u>

Commander
US Army Laboratory Command
ATTN: SLCTO (Marcos Sola)
2800 Powder Mill Road
Adelphi, MD 20783-1145

1 Commander US Army Materials Technology Laboratory ATTN: SLCMT-ATL Watertown, MA 02172-0001

Director
US Army Research Office
ATTN: SLCRO-MA (Dr. J. Chandra)
(Mr. K. Clark)
(Dr. Wu)
P.O. Box 12211
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211

Director
US Army Survivability Management Office
ATTN: SLCSM-C31 (H. J. Davis)
2800 Powder Mill Road
Adelphi, MD 20783

Director
 US Army Survivability Management Office
 ATTN: SLCSM-D (COL H. Head)
 2800 Powder Mill Road
 Adelphi, MD 20783-1145

1 Commander
Armament RD&E Center
US Army AMCCOM
ATTN: SMCAR-CCH-V (Paul H. Gemmill)
Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000

1 Commander
Armament RD&E Center
US Army AMCCOM
ATTN: SMCAR-FSS-E (Jack Brooks)
Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000

1 Commander
Armament RD&E Center
US Army AMCCOM
ATTN: SMCAR-TD (Jim Killen)
Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000

No. of Copies Organization

1 Commander
Armament RD&E Center
US Army AMCCOM
ATTN: SMCAR-TDS (Vic Lindner)
Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000

1 Commander
Armament RD&E Center
US Army AMCCOM
ATTN: SMCAR-TSS
Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000

1 Commander
US Army Aviation Systems Command
ATTN: AMSAV-ES
4300 Goodfellow Blvd
St Louis, MO 63120-1798

1 Commander
US Army Aviation Systems Command
ATTN: AMSAV-GT (R. Lewis)
4300 Goodfellow Blvd
St. Louis, MO 63120-1798

Commander
US Army Aviation Systems Command
ATTN: AMSAV-NC (H. Law)
(S. Meyer)
4300 Goodfellow Blvd
St. Louis, MO 63120-1798

Commander
 Belvoir Research, Development and Engineering Center
 ATTN: STRBE-FC (Ash Patil)

 Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5606

1 Commander
Belvoir Research, Development
and Engineering Center
ATTN: STRBE-JDA (Melvin Goss)
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5606

Commander
 CECOM R&D Technical Library
 ATTN: ASQNC-ELC-I-T, Myer Center
 Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5000

No. of Copies

Organization

No. of Copies Organization

1 Director

Center for Night Vision and Electro-Optics ATTN: AMSEL-NV-V (John Palmer) Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5677

1 Director

Center for Night Vision and Electro-Optics ATTN: AMSEL-RD-NV-V (John Ho) Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5677

1 Director

Center for Night Vision and Electro-Optics ATTN: DELMV-L (Dr. R. Buser) Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5677

Commander

US Army Foreign Science and Technology Center

ATTN: AIAF (Bill Rich) 220 Seventh Street, NE Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396

Commander

US Army Foreign Science and Technology Center

ATTN: AIAFRC (T. Walker)

(S. Eitleman)

(R. Witnebal)

220 Seventh Street, NE Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396

3 Commander

US Army Foreign Science and Technology

ATTN: AIAFRS (Gordon Spencer)

(John McKay) (Chip Grobmyer)

220 Seventh Street, NE

Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396

Commander

US Army Foreign Science and Technology Center

ATTN: AIAFRT (John Kosiewicz)

220 Seventh Street, NE

Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396

Commander US Army Foreign Science and Technology Center ATTN: AIFRC (Dave Hardin) 220 Seventh Street, NE

Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396

Commander

US Army Foreign Science and Technology Center ATTN: AMXST-WSI (John R. Aker) 220 Seventh Street, NE Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396

Commander

US Army Harry Diamond Laboratory ATTN: SLCHD-RT (Peter Johnson) 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1197

Commander

US Army INSCOM ATTN: IAOPS-SE-M (George Maxfield) Arlington Hall Station

Arlington, VA 22212-5000

Commander

US Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-RD-GC-T (R. Alongi) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5000

Commander

US Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-RD-SS-AT Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5000

Commander

US Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-RGT (J. Bradas) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5000

1 Commander

US Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-YTSD (Glenn Allison) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5070

# No. of <u>Organization</u>

- Commander
   US Army Missile Command
   ATTN: AMSMI-REX (W. Pittman)
   Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5500
- Director
   US Army Missile and Space Intelligence
   Center
   ATTN: AIAMS-YDL
   Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5500
- 2 Director US Army Missile and Space Intelligence Center ATTN: AIAMS-YRS, Thomas Blalock Pete Kirkland Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5500
- 2 Director US Army Missile and Space Intelligence Center ATTN: AIAMS-YRT, Francis G. Cline Don A. Slaymaker Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5500
- 1 Commander
  US Army Natick R&D Center
  ATTN: STRNC-OI (Stephen A. Freitas)
  Natick, MA 01760
- Commander
   US Army Tank-Automotive Command
   ATTN: AMSTA-CF (Dr. Oscar)
   Warren, MI 48090
- I Commander
  US Army Tank-Automotive Command
  ATTN: AMSTA-CK (G. Orlicki)
  Warren, MI 48090

# No. of <u>Copies</u> <u>Organization</u>

- Commander
   US Army Tank-Automotive Command
   ATTN: AMSTA-CR (Mr. Wheelock)
   Warren, MI 48397-5000
- Commander
   US Army Tank-Automotive Command
   ATTN: AMSTA-CV (COL Kearney)
   Warren, MI 48397-5000
  - Commander
    US Army Tank-Automotive Command
    ATTN: AMSTA-NKS (D. Cyaye)
    (J. Rowe)
    Warren, MI 48397-5000
- 2 Commander US Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-RGE (R. Munt) (R. McClelland) Warren, MI 48397-5000
- Commander
  US Army Tank-Automotive Command
  ATTN: AMSTA-RSC (John Bennett)
  (Wally Mick)
  Warren, MI 48397-5000
- Commander
   US Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-RSK (Sam Goodman)

   Warren, MI 48090-5000
- Commander
  US Army Tank-Automotive Command
  ATTN: AMSTA-VS (Brian Bonkosky)
  Warren, MI 48090-5000
  - Commander
    US Army Tank-Automotive Command
    ATTN: AMSTA-ZE (R. Asoklis)
    AMSTA-ZEA (C. Robinson)
    (R. Gonzalez)
    AMSTA-ZS (D. Rees)
    AMSTA-ZSS (J. Thompson)
    (J. Soltez)
    Warren, MI 48397-5000

No. of Copies	Organization	No. of Copies	
	Commander HQ, TRADOC ATTN: Asst Dep Chief of Staff for Combat Operations Fort Monroe, VA 23651-5000	1	Director US Army Industrial Base Engineering Activity ATTN: AMXIB-MT Rock Island, IL 61299-7260
2	Commander HQ, TRADOC ATTN: ATRC-RP (COL Brinkley) ATRC-RPR (Mark W. Murray)	1	Director US Army Industrial Base Engineering Activity ATTN: AMXIB-PS (Steve McGlone) Rock Island, IL 61299-7260
1	Ft. Monroe, VA 23651-5143  Director US Army Cold Regions Research and Development Laboratory ATTN: Technical Director (Lewis Link) 72 Lyme Road Hanover, NH 03755	3	Director US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station ATTN: WESEN (Dr. V. LaGarde) (Mr. W. Grabau) WESEN-C (Mr. David Meeker) PO Box 631 Vicksburg, MS 39180-0631
1	US Army Corps of Engineers Assistant Director Research and Development Directorate ATTN: Mr. B. Benn 20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW	1	US Army Engineer Topographic Laboratories ATTN: Technical Director (W. Boge) Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5546
1	Washington, DC 20314-1000  Commander US Army Operational Test and Evaluation Agency		US Army Operational Test and Evaluation Agency ATTN: LTC Gordon Crupper 5600 Columbia Pike Falls Church, VA 22041
	ATTN: MG Stephenson 5600 Columbia Pike Falls Church, VA 22041	3	Los Alamos National Laboratories ATTN: MS 985, Dean C. Nelson MS F600, Gary Tietgen
1	Commander US Army Vulnerability Assessment Laboratory ATTN: SLCVA-CF (Gil Apodaca) White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5513	1	MS G787, Terrence Phillips PO Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87545 Los Alamos National Laboratories ATTN: MS F681, LTC Michael V. Ziehmn
2	US General Accounting Office Program Evaluation and Methodology Division ATTN: Robert G Orwin		USMC PO Box 1668 Los Alamos, NM 87545
	Joseph Sonnefeld Room 5844 441 G Street, NW Washington, DC 20548	1	Sandia National Laboratories Division 1611 ATTN: Tom James Albuquerque, NM 87185

	DISTRIBU	TION	LIST
No. of		No. of	
Copies		Copies	Organization
1	Sandia National Laboratories	1	Commander
	Division 1623		US Naval Weapons Center
	ATTN: Larry Hostetler		ATTN: Mark D. Alexander
	Albuquerque, NM 87185		Code 3894
			China Lake, CA 93556-6001
1	Sandia National Laboratories		
	ATTN: Gary W. Richter	1	Commander
	PO Box 969		US Naval Weapons Center
	Livermore, CA 94550		ATTN: Melvin H. Keith
			Code 39104
1	Commander		China Lake, CA 93555
	US Naval Air Systems Command		C
	JTCG/AS Central Office	1	Commander
	ATTN: 5164J (LTC James B. Sebolka)		US Naval Weapons Center ATTN: Tim Horton
	Washington, DC 20361		• • •
			Code 3386 China Lake, CA 93555
1	Commander		China Lake, CA 95555
	Naval Intelligence Command	1	Commander
	ATTN: NIPSSA-333 (Paul Fessler)	1	US Naval Civil Eng Laboratories
	4600 Silver Hill Road		ATTN: John M. Ferritto
	Washington, DC 20389		Code L53
1	Commander		Port Hueneme, CA 93043
1	US Naval Ocean Systems Center		Total Inches of Court
	ATTN: Earle G. Schweizer	1	Naval Postgraduate School
	Code 000	-	Department of National Security
	San Diego, CA 92151-5000		ATTN: Dr. Joseph Sternberg
	502 500 001		Code 73
4	Commander		Monterey, CA 93943
_	US Naval Surface Warfare Center		
	ATTN: Gregory J. Budd	1	Commander
	James Ellis		Intelligence Threat Analysis Center
	Barbara J. Harris		ATTN: PSD-GAS/John Bickle
	Constance P. Rollins		Washington Navy Yard
	Code G13		Washington, DC 20374
	Dahlgren, VA 22448-5000		
		1	Commander
2	Commander		Intelligence Threat Analysis Center
	US Naval Weapons Center		ATTN: Bill Davies
	ATTN: Ed Patterson		Washington Navy Yard, Bldg 203 (Stop 314)
	Dr. Helen Wang		Washington, DC 20374-2136
	Code 3313		
	Bldg 1400, Room Bi7	1	
	China Lake, CA 93555		Intelligence Threat Analysis Center
			ATTN: Ron Demeter
			Washington Navy Yard, B-213, Stop 314
			Washington, DC 20374

No. of Copies	<u>Organization</u>	No. of Copies	
-	Commander Intelligence Threat Analysis Center ATTN: Tim Finnegan Washington Navy Yard, B-213 Washington, DC 20374	2	Commander AFATL ATTN: AGA (Lawrence Jones) (Mickie Phipps) Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5434
	Commander Intelligence Threat Analysis Center ATTN: Jim Fry Washington Navy Yard, B-213 Washington, DC 20374	1	Commander AFEWC ATTN: AFEWC/SAXE (Bod Eddy) Kelly AFB, TX 78243-5000
	Commander Intelligence Threat Analysis Center Intell Image Prod Div ATTN: John Creighton Al Fuerst	1	Commander AFWAL/AARF ATTN: CPT John Poachon Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-6533
	Washington Navy Yard, Bldg 213 (IAX-O-II) Washington, DC 20374	1	Commander AFWAL/FIES ATTN: James Hodges Sr.
2	Commander David W. Taylor Naval Ship and Development Center		Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-6523
	ATTN: W. Conley J. Schot Bethesda, MD 20084	2	Comnucr A. WAL/MLTC ATTN: LT Robert Carringer Dave Judson
1	Commander Eglin Air Force Base AD/ENL		Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-6533
	ATTN: Robert L. Stovall Eglin AFB, FL 32542	1	Commander WRDC/AARA ATTN: Michael L. Bryant
	Commander USAF HQ ESD/PLEA Chief Engineering and Took Division	•	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
	Chief, Engineering and Test Division ATTN: Paul T. Courtoglous Hanscom AFB, MA 01730 Commander	1	Commander FTD/SDMBA ATTN: Charles Darnell Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
	USAF-HQ ATTN: AFTDEC/JT (COL Victor A. Kindurys)	1	Commander FTD/SDMBU
	Kirtland AFB, NM 87117-7001		ATTN: Kevin Nelson Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433

# No. of Copies Organization

- 1 Commander FTD/SQDRA ATTN: Greg Koesters Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-6508
- 1 Commander
  FTD
  ATTN: Tom Reinhardt
  Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- 1 Commander FTD/SCRS ATTN: Amy Fox Schalle Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- 1 Commander FTD/SDJEO ATTN: Robert Schalle Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- 1 Commander FTD/SDAEA ATTN: Joe Sugrue Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- 1 Commander AFWAL/AARA ATTN: Vincent Velten Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- 1 Commander FTD/SQDRA ATTN: Larry E. Wright Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- Commander
   ASD/XRJ
   ATTN: Ed Mahen
   Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
   45433
- 1 Commander
  AD/CZL
  ATTN: James M. Heard
  Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000

# No. of Copies Organization

- Commander
   AD/ENY
   ATTN: Dr. Stewart W. Turner
   Director of Engineering Analysis
   Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000
- 2 Commander
  AD/ENYW
  ATTN: 2LT Michael Ferguson
  Jim Richardson
  Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000
- I Commander Air Force Armament Laboratory ATTN: AFATL/DLY (James B. Flint) Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000
- Commander
   US Army FSTC
   ATTN: AIAST-RA-SG1 (Dr. Steven Carter)
   220 Seventh Avenue
   Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396
- 5 Commander
  US Army FSTC
  ATTN: Greg Crawford
  Chip Grobmeyer
  David P. Lutz
  Suzanne Hall
  Charles Hutson
  220 Seventh Avenue
  Charlosttesville, VA 22901-5396
- 1 Commander
  US Army FSTC/CA3
  ATTN: Scott Mingledorff
  220 Seventh Avenue
  Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396
- 1 Commander
  US Army FSTC (UK)
  ATTN: MAJ Nigel Williams
  220 Seventh Avenue
  Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396

No. of Copies	Organization	No. of Copies	Organization
OODICS	<u>VIRONIS GVIVA</u>		
6	Institute for Defense Analyses (IDA	,	Air Force Wright Aeronautical Labs
	ATTN: Mr. Irwin A. Kaufman		ATTN: CDJ, CPT Jost
	Mr. Arthur O. Kresse		CDJ, Joseph Faison
	Mr. Arthur Stein		Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-6523
	Dr. Lowell Tonnessen		
	Mr. Benjamin W. Turner	1	Alliant Computer Company
	Ms. Sylvia L. Waller		ATTN: David Micciche
	1801 N. Beauregard Street		1 Monarch Drive
	Alexandria, VA 22311		Littleton, MA 01460
1	Institute for Defense Analyses	1	Alliston Gas Turbine
	ATTN: Carl F. Kossack		Division of GM
	1005 Athens Way		ATTN: Michael Swift
	Sun City, FL 33570		PO Box 420, SC S22B
			Indianapolis, IN 46260-0420
1	Department of Commerce		
	National Bureau of Standards	1	Aluminum Company of America
	Manufacturing Systems Group		ATTN: Charles Wood
	ATTN: B. Smith		Alcoa Technical Center
	Washington, DC 20234		Alcoa Center, PA 15069
1	AAI Corporation	1	ANSER
	ATTN: H. W. Schuette		ATTN: James W. McNulty
	PO Box 126		1215 Jefferson Davis Highway
	Hunt Valley, MD 21030-0126		Arlington, VA 22202
1	ABEX Research Center	1	ARC C-500
	ATTN. Dr. Michael J. Normandia	•	ATTN: John H. Bucher
	65 Valley Road		Modena Road
	Mahwah, NJ 07430		Coatesville, PA 19320
1	Adelman Associates	1	Armament Systems, Inc.
	ATTN: Herbert S. Weintraub		ATTN: Gerard Zeller
	291 North Bernardo Avenue		P.O. Box 158
	Mountain View, CA 94014-5205		211 West Bel Air Avenue
			Aberdeen, MD 21001
2	Aero Corporation		
	ATTN: David S. Eccles	1	Armored Vehicle Technologies
	Gregg Snyder		ATTN: Coda M. Edwards
	P.O. Box 92957, M4/913		PO Box 2057
	Los Angeles, CA 90009		Warren, MI 48090
1	AFELM, The Rand Corporation	1	ASI Sytems, International
	ATTN: Library-D		ATTN: Dr. Michael Stamatelatos
	1700 Main Street		3319 Lone Jack Road
	Santa Monica, CA 90406		Encinitas, CA 92024

# No. of Copies Organization

- 1 Auburn University Electrical Engineering Department ATTN: Dr. Thomas Shumpert Auburn University, AL 36849
- A.W. Bayer and Associates ATTN: Albert W. Bayer, President Marina City Club 4333 Admiralty Way Marina del Rey, CA 90292-5469
- Battelle Research Laboratory Columbus Division
   King Avenue
   Columbus, Ohio 43201-2693
- Battelle Research Laboratory
   ATTN: Bernard J. Tullington
   1300 N. 17th Street, Suite 1520
   Arlington, VA 22209
- The BDM Corporation ATTN: Edwin J. Dorchak 7915 Jones Branch Drive McLean, VA 22102-3396
- 1 The BDM Corporation ATTN: Fred J. Michel 1300 N. 17th Street Arlington, VA 22209
- 2 BMY, Division of Harsco ATTN: William J. Wagner, Jr. Ronald W. Jenkins PO Box 1512 York, PA 17404
- Board on Army Science and Technology National Research Council Room MH 280
   2101 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20418
- 2 Boeing Aerospace ATTN: Dr. Robert Chiavetta Dr. John Kuras Mail Stop 8K17 P.O. Box 3999 Seattle, WA 98124-2499

# No. of <u>Copies</u> <u>Organization</u>

- Boeing Corporation ATTN: MS 33-04, Robert Bristow MS 48-88, Wayne Hammond PO Box 3707 Seattle, WA 98124-2207
- 1 Boeing Vertol Company A Division of Boeing Co. ATTN: MS P30-27, John E. Lyons PO Box 16858 Philadelphia, PA 19142
- Booz-Allen and Hamilton, Inc. ATTN: Dr. Richard B. Benjamin Suite 131, 4141 Colonel Glenn Hwy. Dayton, OH 45431
- Booz Allen and Hamilton, Inc. ATTN: Lee F. Mallett
   1300 N. 17th Street, Suite 1610
   Rosslyn, VA 22209
- Booz-Allen and Hamilton, Inc. ATTN: John M. Vice AFWAL/FIES/SURVIAC Bldg 45, Area B Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-6553
- John Brown Associates
   ATTN: Dr. John A. Brown
   PO Box 145
   Berkeley Heights, NJ 07922-0145
- 1 Chamberlain ATTN: Mark A. Sackett PO Box 2545 Waterloo, IA 50704
- Combined Arms Combat Development ATTN: ATZL-CAP (LTC Morrison)
   Dir, Surv Task Force
   Ft. Leavenworth, KS
   66027-5300
- Computer Sciences Corporation 200 Sparkman Drive Huntsville, AL 35805

## No. of

#### Copies Organization

- 3 Computervision Corporation ATTN: A. Bhide V. Geisberg R. Hillyard 201 Burlington Road Bedford, MA 01730
- Cray Research, Inc.
   ATTN: William W. Kritlow
   2130 Main Street, #280
   Huntington Beach, CA 92648
- CRS Sirrine, Inc.
   ATTN: Dr. James C. Smith
   PO Box 22427
   1177 West Loop South
   Houston, TX 77227
- 1 CSC ATTN: Abner W. Lee 200 Sparkman Drive Huntsville, AL 35805
- 2 Cypress International ATTN: August J. Caponecchi James Logan 1201 E. Abinjdon Drive Alexandria, VA 22314
- DATA Networks, Inc.
   ATTN: William E. Regan, Jr.
   President
   288 Greenspring Station
   Brooklandville, MD 21022
- 1 Datatec, Inc. ATTN: Donald E. Cudney President 326 Green Acres Fort Walton, FL 32548
- University of Dayton
   Graduate Engineering and Research
   Kettering Lab 262
   ATTN: Dr. Gary Thiele, Director
   Dayton, OH 45469

### No. of

#### Copies Organization

- Delco Systems Operation ATTN: John Steen
   6767 Hollister Avenue, #P202 Goleta, CA 93117
- Denver Research Institute
   Target Vulnerability and Survivability
   Laboratory
   ATTN: Lawrence G. Ullyatt
   PO Box 10127
   Denver, CO 80210
- 1 Denver Research Institute University of Denver ATTN: Louis E. Smith University Park Denver, CO 80208
- DuPont Company FPD ATTN: Dr. Oswald R. Bergmann B-1246, 1007 Market Street Wilmington, DE 19898
- Dynamics Analysis and Test Associates ATTN: Dr. C. Thomas Savell 2231 Faraday Ave Suite 103 Carlsbad, CA 92008
- 1 E. I. Dupont TED FMC ATTN: Richard O. Myers Jr. Wilmington, DE 19898
- Eichelberger Consulting Company ATTN: Dr. Robert Eichelberger President
   409 West Catherine Street
   Bel Air, MD 21014
- Electronic Warfare Associates, Inc. ATTN: William V. Chiaramonte 2071 Chain Bridge Road Vienna, VA 22180
- Emprise, Ltd.
   ATTN: Bradshaw Armendt, Jr
   Crafton Road
   Bel Air, MD 21014

No. of Copies		No. of Copies	
8	Environmental Research Institute of Michigan	1	FMC Corporation
	ATTN: Mr. K. Augustyn		Northern Ordnance Division
	Mr. Kozma		ATTN: M3-11, Barry Brown
	Dr. I. La Haie		4800 East River Road
	Mr. R. Horvath		Minneapolis, MN 55421
	Mr. Arnold		
	Mr. E. Cobb	7	FMC Corporation
	Mr. B. Morey		Ordnance Engineering Division
	Mr. M. Bair		ATTN: H. Croft
	PO Box 8618		M. Hatcher
	Ann Arbor, MI 48107		L. House
			J. Jackson
1	E-OIR Measurements, Inc.		M. Krull
	ATTN: Russ Moulton		E. Maddox
	PO Box 3348, College Station		R. Musante
	Fredericksburg, VA 22402		1105 Coleman Ave, Box 1201 San Jose, CA 95108
1	John Fluke Manufacturing Company, Inc.		
	ATTN: D. Gunderson	1	GE Aircrast Engines
	PO Box C9090		ATTN: Dr. Roger B. Dunn
	Everett, WA 98206		One Neumann Way, MD J185
			Cincinnati, OH 45215-6301
3	FMC Corporation		
	ATTN: Ronald S. Beck	I	General Atomics
	Martin Lim		ATTN: Chester J. Everline,
	Jacob F. Yacoub		Staff Engineer
	881 Martin Avenue		P.O. Box 85608
	Santa Clara, CA 95052		San Diego, CA 92138-5608
3	FMC Corporation	1	General Dynamics
	Advanced Systems Center (ASC)		ATTN: Dr. Fred Cleveland
	ATTN: Edward Berry		P.O. Box 748
	Scott L. Langlie		Mail Zone 5965
	Herb Theumer		Ft. Worth, TX 76101
	1300 South Second Street		
	PO Box 59043	3	General Dynamics
	Minneapolis, MN 55459		ATTN: MZ-4362112, Robert Carter MZ-4362029, Jim Graciano
2	FMC Corporation		MZ-4362055, Gary Jackman
	Defense Systems Group		38500 Mound
	ATTN: Robert Burt		Sterling Heights, MI 48310
	Dennis R. Nitschke	_	<b>a</b> 15
	1115 Coleman Avenue	1	General Dynamics
	San Jose, CA 95037		Data Systems Services
_	The G		ATTN: R. Fridshal
1	FMC Corporation		PO Box 80847
	Naval Systems Division (NSD) ATTN: MK-45, Randall Ellis Minneapolis, MN 55421		San Diego, CA 92138
	•		

	D13 110	inderior :	BIS I
No. of		No. of	
Copies		Copies	<u>Organization</u>
1	General Dynamics	1	GTRI-RAIL-MAD
	ATTN: Jay Lobb		ATTN: Mr. Joe Bradley
	1055 Maple Road		CRB 577
	Clawson, MI 48017		Atlanta, GA 30332
3	General Dynamics Corporation	1	Honeywell
	ATTN: MZ-2650, Dave Bergman		ATTN: Fred J. Parduhn
	MZ-2860, John Romanko		7225 Northland Drive
	MZ-2844, Cynthia Waters		Brooklyn Park, MN 55428
	PO Box 748		
	Ft. Worth, TX 76101-0748	2	Honeywell, Inc.
			ATTN: Raymond H. Burg
1	General Dynamics Land Systems		Laura C. Dillway
	ATTN: Dr. Paulus Kersten		MN38-4000
	PO Box 1901		10400 Yellow Circle Drive
	Warren, MI 48090		Minnetonka, MN 55343
	,		
1	General Dynamics Land Systems	2	INEL/EGandG
	ATTN: William M. Mrdeza		Engineer Lab
	PO Box 2045		ATTN: Ray Berry
	Warren, MI 48090		M. Marx Hintze
			PO Box 1625
3	General Dynamics Land Systems		Idaho Falls, ID 83451
	ATTN: Richard Auver		<del></del>
	Otto Renius	1	Interactive Computer Graphics Center
	N. S. Sridharan	-	Rensselear Polytechnic Inst.
	PO Box 2074		ATTN: M. Wozny
	Warren, MI 48090		Troy, NY 12181
			1100, 111
3	General Motors Corporation	1	International Development Corporation
	Research Laboratories		ATTN: Trevor O. Jones
	ATTN: J. Boyse		18400 Shelburne Road
	J. Joyce		Shaker Heights, OH 44118
	R. Sarraga		•
	Warren, MI 48090	1	ISAT
			ATTN: Roderick Briggs
1	Gettysburg College		1305 Duke Street
	Box 405		Alexandria, VA 22314
	Gettysburg, PA 17325		,
		1	Jet Propulsion Laboratory
1	Grumman Aerospace Corporation		California Institute of Technology
	Research and Development Center		ATTN: D. Lewis
	ATTN: Dr. Robert T. Brown,		4800 Oak Grove Drive
	Senior Research Scientist		Pasadena, CA 91109
	Bethpage, NY 11714		•
		1	Kaman Sciences Corporation
			ATTN: Timothy S. Pendergrass
			600 Boulevard South, Suite 208
			Huntsville, AL 35802
	·		,

# No. of <u>Copies</u> <u>Organization</u>

- 1 Ketron, Inc.
  ATTN: Robert S. Bennett
  696 Fairmont Avenue
  Towsontown Center
  Towson, MD 21204
- 1 Keweenaw Research Center Michigan Technological University ATTN: Bill Reynolds Houghton, MI 49931
- Lanxido Armor Products
   ATTN: Dr. Robert A. Wolffe
   Tralee Industrial Park
   Newark, DE 19711
- Lincoln Laboratory
   MIT
   ATTN: Dr. Robert Shin
   Dr. Chuck Burt
   P.O. Box 73
   Lexington, MA 02173
- 3 Lincoln Laboratory
  MIT
  Surveillance Systems Group
  ATTN: R. Barnes
  G. Knittel
  J. Kong
  244 Wood Street
  Lexington, MA 02173-0073
- Lockheed Corporation ATTN: R. C. Smith PO Box 551 Burbank, CA 91520
- Lockheed-California Company ATTN: C. A. Burton
   R. J. Ricci
   M. Steinberg
   Burbank, CA 91520
- Lockheed-Georgia Company ATTN: Ottis F. Teuton J. Tulkoff
   Dept. 72-91, Zone 419
   Marietta, GA 30063

# No. of <u>Organization</u>

- Logistics Management Institute ATTN: Edward D. Simms Jr. 6400 Goldsboro Road Bethesda, MD 20817-5886
- Los Alamos Technical Associates. Inc. ATTN: John S. Daly
   6501 Americas Parkway, #900 Albuquerque, NM 87110
- LTV
   ATTN: MS 194-51, Mike Logan
   PO Box 225907
   Dallas, TX 75265
- LTV Aerospace and Defense ATTN: Daniel M. Reedy PO Box 225907
   Dallas, TX 75265
- 2 Martin Marietta Aerospace ATTN: MP-113, Dan Dorfman MP-433, Richard S. Dowd PO Box 5837 Orlando, FL 32855-5837
- 3 Mathematical Applications Group, Inc ATTN: M. Cohen R. Goldstein H. Steinberg 3 Westchester Plaza Elmsford, NY 10523
- Maxwell Laboratories, Inc. ATTN: Dr. Michael Holland 8888 Balboa Avenue San Diego, CA 92123-1506
- McDonald-Douglas Astronautic ATTN: Nikolai A. Louie
   5301 Bolsa Avenue
   Huntington Beach, CA 92647
- McDonnell Douglas, Inc. ATTN: David Hamilton PO Box 516
   St. Louis, MO 63166

# No. of Copies Organization Copies Organization

- McDonnell Douglas, Inc.
   ATTN: Alan R. Parker
   3855 Lakewood Blvd., MC 35-18
   Long Beach, CA 90846
- 1 Memex Corporation ATTN: Charles S. Smith 91 Belleau Ave. Atherton, CA 94025
- Micro Electronics of North Carolina ATTN: Gershon Kedem
   PO Box 12889
   Research Triangle Park, NC 07709
- 1 MIT ATTN: Dr. S. Benton RE15-416 Cambridge, MA 02139
- The MITRE Corporation ATTN: Edward C. Brady, Vice President 7525 Colshire Drive McLean, VA 22102
- NASA-Ames Research Center ATTN: Dr. Alex Woo Mail Stop 227-2 Moffett Field, CA 94035
- 1 NASA-Ames Research Center ATTN: Leroy Presley Mail stop 227-4 Moffett Field, CA 94035
- 1 NAVIR DEVCON ATTN: Frank Wenograd Code 6043 Walminstor, PA 18974
- North Aircraft
   ATTN: Dr. Athanosis Varvatsis
   Mail Zone 3622/84
   1 Northrop Ave
   Hawthorne, CA 90250

- Northrop Corporation
   Electro-Mechanical Division
   ATTN: Engh R. Byron
   500 E. Orangethorpe Ave., 7270
   Anaheim, CA 92801
- Northrop Corporation
   Research and Technology Center
   ATTN: James R. Reis
   One Research Park
   Palos Verdes Peninsula, CA 90274
- 1 Norton Company ATTN: Ronald K. Bart 1 New Bond Street Worcester, MA 01606-2698
- 1 The Oceanus Company
  ATTN: RADM Robert H. Gormley,
  (Ret)
  PO Box 7069
  Menlo Park, CA 94026
- Okalahoma State University
   College of Engineering, Architecture
   and Technology
   ATTN: Thomas M. Browder, Jr.
   PO Box 1925
   Eglin AFB, FL 32542
- 1 Pacific Scientific/Htl Division ATTN: Robert F. Aldrich 1800 Highland Avenue Duarte, CA 91010
- Perceptronics, Inc.
   ATTN: Dean R. Loftin
   21111 Erwin Street
   Woodland Hills, CA 91367
- 1 Physics International ATTN: Ron Gellatly 2700 Merced Street San Leandro, CA 94577
- PRI, Inc.
   ATTN: W. Bushell
   Building E4435, Second Floor
   Edgewood Area-APG, MD 21010

No.	of
Cop	ies

#### Organization

- RGB Associates, Inc. ATTN: R. Barakat Box B Wayland, MA 01778
- Rockwell International ATTN: Dr. H. Bran Tran P.O. Box 92098 Department 113/GB01 Los Angeles, CA 90009
- 1 Rockwell International Corporation ATTN: Keith R. Rathjen, Vice President 3370 Miraloma Avenue (031-HA01) Anaheim, CA 92803-3105
- 1 Rome Air Development Center ATTN: RADC/IRRE, Peter J. Costianes Griffis Air Force Base, NY 13441-5700
- Rome Air Development Center RADC/OCTM
   ATTN: Edward Starczewski
   Building 106
   Griffis Air Force Base, NY 13441-5700
- S-Cubed ATTN: Michael S. Lancaster 1800 Diagonal Road, Suite 420 Alexandria, VA 22314
- Sachs/Freeman Associates, Inc. ATTN: Donald W. Lynch Senior Research Physicist 205 Yoakum Parkway, #511 Alexandria, VA 22304
- I SAIC ATTN: Dr. Alan J. Toepfer 2109 Air Park Drive, SE Albuquerque, NM 87106
- I SAIC ATTN: John H. McNeilly, Senior Scientist 1710 Goodridge Drive McLean, VA 22102

### No. of Copies

### Organization

- 2 SAIC ATTN: Terry Keller Robert Turner Suite 200 1010 Woodman Drive Dayton, OH 45432
- SAIC
   ATTN: David R. Garfinkle
   Malibu Canyon Business Park
   26679 W. Agoura Road, Suite 200
   Calabasas, CA 91302
- Sidwell-Ross and Associates, Inc. ATTN: LTG Marion C. Ross, (USA Ret) Executive Vice President PO Box 88531 Atlanta, GA 30338
- Sigma Research Inc.
   ATTN: Dr. Richard Bossi
   8710 148 Avenue, NE
   Redmond, WA 98052
- Sikorsky Aircraft
   Division of United Technologies
   ATTN: R. Welge
   North Main Street
   Stratford, CT 06602
- Simula, Inc.
   ATTN: Joseph W. Coltman
   10016 South 51st Street
   Pheonix, AZ 85044
- Alan Smolen and Associates, Inc. ATTN: Alan Smolen, President One Cynthia Court Palm Coast, FL 32027-8172
- 3 Southwest Research Institute ATTN: Martin Goland Alex B. Wenzel Patrick H. Zabel 6220 Culebra Road San Antonio, TX 78238

	DIS	DISTRIBUTION LIST				
No. of	r	No. of				
Copies	<u>Organization</u>	Copies	<u>Organization</u>			
3	Sparta, Inc.	1	Tradeways, Ltd.			
	ATTN: David M. McKinley		ATTN: Joseph G. Gorski,			
	Robert E. O'Connor		President			
	Karen M. Rooney		307F Maple Avenue West			
	4901 Corporate Drive		Vienna, VA 22180			
	Huntsville, AL 35805-6201					
		$^2$	TRW Operations and Support Group			
3	Structural Dynamics Research		ATTN: K. Dankers			
	Corporation (SDRC)		T. Heim			
	ATTN: R. Ard		One Space Park			
	W. McClelland		Redondo Beach, CA 90278			
	J. Osborn					
	2000 Eastman Drive	ı	United Technologies Corporation			
	Milford, OH 45150		Advanced Systems Division			
			ATTN: Richard J. Holman			
1	Syracuse Research Group		10180 Telesis Court			
	ATTN: Dr. Chung-Chi Cha		San Diego, CA 92121			
	Merrill Lane					
	Syracuse, NY 13210	1	University of Idaho			
	•		Department of Civil Engineering			
1	System Planning Corporation		ATTN: Dr. Dennis R. Horn			
	ATTN: Ann Hafer		Assistant Professor			
	1500 Wilson Blvd		Moscow, ID 83843-4194			
	Arlington, VA 22209					
		1	University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign			
1	Systems Science and Software		Department of Civil Engineering			
	ATTN: Robe . T. Sedgwick		and Environmental Studies			
	PO Box 1620		ATTN: Dr. E. Downey Brill, Jr.			
	La Jolla, CA 92038-1620		208 North Romine			
			Urbana, IL 61801-2374			
2	TASC					
	ATTN: Charles E. Clucus	1	University of Illinois			
	Darrell James		Department of Electrical and Computer			
	970 Mar-Walt Drive		Engineering			
	Ft. Walton Beach, FL 32548		ATTN: Dr. Shung-Wu Lee			
			1406 W. Green			
1	TASC		Urbana, IL 61801			
	ATTN: Harry I. Nimon, Jr					
	1700 N. Moore Street, Suite 1220	1	The Johns Hopkins University			
	Arlington, VA 22209		Applied Physics Laboratory			
			ATTN: Jonathan Fluss			

1 Technical Solutions, Inc ATTN: John R. Robbins

P.O. Box 1148 Mesillia Park, NM 88047

1 University of Nevada Environmental Research Center ATTN: Dr. Delbert S. Barth Senior Scientist Las Vegas, NV 89154-0001

# No. of Copies Organization

- University of North Carolina ATTN: Professor Henry Fuchs 208 New West Hall (035A) Chapel Hill, NC 27514
- 3 Ohio State University
  Electroscience Laboratory
  ATTN: Dr. Ronald Marhefka
  Dr. Edward H. Newman
  Dr. Prasbhaker H. Pathak
  1320 Kinnear Road
  Columbus, OH 43212
- 3 University of Utah
  Computer Science Department
  ATTN: R. Riesenfeld
  E. Cohen
  L. Knapp
  3160 Merrill Engineering Bldg
  Salt Lake City, UT 84112
- 2 University of Washington 409 Department of Electrical Engineering, FT-10 ATTN: Dr. Irene Peden Dr. Akira Ishimaru Seattle, WA 98105
- Van Es Associates, Inc. ATTN: Dr. John D. Christie Vice President
   Suite 1407, 5202 Leesburg Pike Falls Church, VA 22041
- Virginia Polytechnic Institute
   and State University
   Industrial Engineering Operations Research
   Department
   ATTN: Robert C. Williges
   302 Whittemore Hall
   Blacksburg, VA 24061-8603
- 1 Vought Corporation ATTN: Paul T. Chan PO Box 225907 Dallas, TX: 75265

# No. of <u>Organization</u>

- XMCO, Inc.
   460 Spring Park PI #1500
   Herndon, VA 22070-5215
- 1 XONTECH ATTN: John Dagostino 1701 N. Fort Myer Drive Suite 703 Arlington, VA 22209
- Zernow Tech Services, Inc. ATTN. Dr. Louis Zernow 425 West Bonita, Suite 208 San Dimas, CA 91773
- 2 SURVICE Engineering ATTN: Jim Foulk George Lard 1003 Old Philadelphia Road Aberdeen, MD 21001
- Georgia Technical Research Institute Systems and Technical Laboratory ATTN: Dr. Charles Watt 1770 Richardsons Road Smyrna, GA 30080
- Duke University
   Department of Computer Science,
   VLSI Raycasting
   ATTN: Dr. Gershon Kedem
   236 North Building
   Durham, NC 27706

1

### Aberdeen Proving Ground

Cdr, USATECOM
ATTN: AMSTE-CG, MG Akin
AMSTE-LFT, D. Gross
R. Harrington
AMSTE-CG-LF

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

### **USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS**

	ndertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the swers to the items/questions below will aid us in our efforts.	
1. BRL Report N	umber BRL- TR-3113 Date of Report	Jun 90
2. Date Report R	eceived	
	ort satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or ort will be used.)	
	ow is the report being used? (Information source, design d	ata, procedure, source
saved, operating of	nation in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as osts avoided, or efficiencies achieved, etc? If so, please elab	man-hours or dollars
6. General Common changes to organization	nents. What do you think should be changed to improve futuration, technical content, format, etc.)	ure reports? (Indicate
Carlo Man		
. T. C.		
CONTRACTOR		
	Name	-
CURRENT ADDRESS	Organization	-
	Address	-
	City, State, Zip Code	-
7. If indicating Address in Block	a Change of Address or Address Correction, please provide 6 above and the Old or Incorrect address below.	e the New or Correct
	Name	_
OLD ADDRESS	Organization	-
DDINGOU	Address	-
	City, State, Zip Code	~

(Remove this sheet, fold as indicated, staple or tape closed, and mail.)

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY Director U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 210: 500 OFFICIAL BUSINESS	FOLD HERE	NO POSTAG NECESSAF IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STAT
	BUSINESS REPLY MAIL FIRST CLASS PERMIT No 0001, APG, MD	
	POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE	
	Director U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-9989	
	FOLD HERE	 

.